

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/002,776	11/02/2001		Ricki D. Williams	2070.005600/P6772	5374	
7590 10/04/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
B. Noel Kivlin				DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L		
Meyertons, Ho Kowert & Goe		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 398			2836			
Austin, TX 78767-0398				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/002,776	WILLIAMS, RICKI D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	A)			
		Robert DeBeradinis	2836	- RAT			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day it will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 I	<u>May 2003</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)🖾	\boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2001</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			` ,			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	, ,	_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	_)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/002,776

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

A decision to revive this application was granted on 5/2/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 13-16, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by ROCKOW 6,153,947.

Regarding claims 1, 7, 13, 19-21.

ROCKOW discloses a system comprising:

means for detecting a device being inserted into the system (hot swap controller 16);

means for blocking delivery of an electrical signal to the inserted device for a first pre-selected duration of time (power control transistor 17).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 14, 15.

ROCKOW discloses the system of 1, wherein the means for blocking further comprises means for preventing delivery of electrical power to the insertion device for a first pre-selected duration of time (column 4, lines 25, 26).

Art Unit: 2836

Regarding claims 4, 10, 16.

ROCKOW discloses the system of claim 2, wherein the means for blocking further comprises means for progressively increasing the level of current delivered to the inserted device after the first pre-selected duration of time by controlling the inrush current to a programmable value by controlling the gate voltage of an external N-channel pass transistor (column 3, lines 45-55).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17, 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROCKOW 6,153,947 in view of DORSEY 6,008,550.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17, 18.

ROCKOW discloses the system of claim 1.

ROCKOW does not disclose wherein the means for blocking further comprises means for blocking a first electrical signal from being delivered to a first portion of the inserted device for a first pre-selected duration of time, and for blocking a second electrical signal from being delivered to a second portion of the inserted device for a second pre-selected duration of time, wherein the first pre-selected duration of time is greater than the second pre-selected duration of time.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

(column 12, lines 66, 67, column 13, lines 1-10).

DORSEY means for blocking a first electrical signal from being delivered to a first portion of the inserted device for a first pre-selected duration of time, and for blocking a second electrical signal from being delivered to a second portion of the inserted device

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to pre-select duration of time to unblock a signal when a card is inserted. The motivation would be to distribute power to different parts of the inserted card at different times to reduce current inrush surges to high power circuits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L. DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

RLD

SEPTEMBER 1, 2004

ROBERT L. DEBERADINIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER